

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 03/08436

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 A61K45/06 A61K38/17 A61K39/395 A61K31/436 A61P37/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61K A61P

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, MEDLINE, WPI Data, PAJ, BIOSIS, EMBASE, CHEM ABS Data

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 94 17773 A (ABRAMOWICZ DANIEL ;SCHANDENE LILIANE (BE); CAPEL PAUL (BE); DELVAU) 18 August 1994 (1994-08-18) claims 1-9	1-12
X	WO 01 95928 A (SQUIBB BRISTOL MYERS CO ;TODDERUD CHARLES GORDON (US); TOWNSEND RO) 20 December 2001 (2001-12-20) claims	1-12
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

14 October 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

28/10/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Herrera, S

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP 03/08436

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>STEPKOWSKI S M ET AL: "Blocking of heart allograft rejection by intercellular adhesion molecule-1 antisense oligonucleotides alone or in combination with other immunosuppressive modalities." JOURNAL OF IMMUNOLOGY (BALTIMORE, MD.: 1950) UNITED STATES 1 DEC 1994, vol. 153, no. 11, 1 December 1994 (1994-12-01), pages 5336-5346, XP002257677, ISSN: 0022-1767 abstract; table III</p>	1-12

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/08436

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
2. ☒ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

### Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

## Continuation of Box I.1

Although claims 1-9 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.

---

## Continuation of Box I.1

Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy

---

## Continuation of Box I.2

Present claims relate to an extremely large number of possible compounds. In fact, the claims contain so many possible combinations that a lack of clarity (and/or conciseness) within the meaning of Article 6 PCT arises to such an extent as to render a meaningful search of the claims impossible. The compounds used are all defined functionally, which renders the scope of the claim very broad and with undefined boundaries per se, but additionally the term "costimulation inhibitor" is considered unclear. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the application which do appear to be clear (and/or concise), the definitions of the terms as described in the description as well as the explicit examples.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP 03/08436

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9417773	A	18-08-1994	AU 6000894 A	29-08-1994
			CA 2155109 A1	18-08-1994
			WO 9417773 A2	18-08-1994
			EP 0681589 A1	15-11-1995
			JP 8510719 T	12-11-1996
WO 0195928	A	20-12-2001	AU 7541101 A	24-12-2001
			CA 2411962 A1	20-12-2001
			CN 1438894 T	27-08-2003
			EP 1294391 A2	26-03-2003
			WO 0195928 A2	20-12-2001
			US 2002039577 A1	04-04-2002